Proceedings of the IECI Japan Workshop 2000 IJW-2000



Supported by

Indonesian Society on Electrical, Electronics, Communication and Information (IECI) Chiba University Indonesian Students Association (PPI) Institute for Science and Technology Studies (ISTECS)

Organized by

Indonesian Society on Electrical, Electronics, Communication and Information (IECI) Chapter Japan

In Cooperation With

Indonesian Agricultural Sciences Association (IASA) Indonesian Society on Bio and Chemical Engineering (BIOCHE)

Reasoning with Cases in the CBR System: A Case Study for Applying *OOExpert* System

Romi Satria Wahono and Behrouz Homayoun Far Department of Information and Computer Sciences, Saitama University romi@cit.ics.saitama-u.ac.jp

Abstract:

Case-Based Reasoning (CBR) is a reasoning method that used in the intelligent systems to find useful and applicable old cases, and reuse them either directly or after adaptation. CBR enables information managers to increase efficiency and reduce cost by substantially automating processes such as diagnosis, scheduling and design. In this paper we introduce the essential characteristic of CBR and discuss the comparation of CBR with a range alternative decision support techniques. The problems and limitations of case-based reasoning and other reasoning methods are discussed. And a case study for implementing CBR to the *OOExpert* is also presented.

Keywords: Case-Based Reasoning, Intelligent System, OOExpert

1. Introduction

CBR is an artificial intelligence (AI) methodology that provides the foundations for a technology of intelligent systems. It has been used to develop many systems applied in a variety of domains, including manufacturing, design, law, medicine, and battle planning.

CBR is based on psychological theories of human cognition. It rests on the intuition that human expertise does not depend on rules or other formalized structures, but on experiences. Human experts differ from novices in their ability to relate problems to previous ones, to reason based on analogies between current and old problems, to use solutions from old experiences, and to recognize and avoid old errors and failures.

In this paper we introduce the essential characteristic of CBR and discuss the comparation of CBR with a range alternative decision support techniques. The problems and limitations of case-based reasoning and other reasoning methods are discussed. We also present the new hybrid architecture for object classes' identification in the *OOExpert* system, by integrating both CBR and Rule-Based Reasoning (RBR) paradigms.

2. CBR Versus Other Techniques

By comparing CBR with other computational techniques, including information retrieval (IR), rule-base reasoning (RBR) techniques, machine learning (ML), and neural networks (NN), we try to understand the strengths and weaknesses of CBR [7].

2.1. CBR Versus Information Retrieval

CBR and Information Retrieval (IR) have many features in common. IR can use techniques other than standard database queries, in particular when retrieving information from large textual information sources such as compact discs or the Internet. An increasingly popular retrieval technique is concept-based retrieval. This uses a thesaurus to find similes for words in a query to widen the scope of the query. Thus, a query such as "remedies for colds" would include word such as cure and treatment, which are synonymous with remedy, and fly and influenza, which are synonymous with cold.

CBR and IR both support flexible querying and both will retrieve a set of potentially relevant, but possibly inexact matches. However there are differences between the two techniques:

• IR methods are mainly focused upon retrieving text from large document sources,

whereas CBR methods can deal with a wider range of data types.

• IR system do not tend to use background knowledge about the information being retrieved that CBR system can use.

Thus differs in that it tends to be used on richer information sources that IR techniques, which tend to be used only on textual databases. However, this distinction is being blurred, and CBR techniques are increasingly being used on large textual information sources.

2.2. CBR Versus Rule-Base Reasoning

Rule-based reasoning (RBR) breaks a problem down into a set of individual rules that each solves part of the problem. Rules are combined together to solve a whole problem. To create these rules, we have to know how to solve the problem, and this task can be extremely complex and time consuming.

CBR systems differ fundamentally in that to use them, we do not need to know how to solve a problem, only to recognize if we have solved a similar problem in the past. However, both RBR and CBR techniques are often used to solve similar problems such as fault diagnosis.

	RBR	CBR
Problem area	Narrow, well	Wide, poorly
	understood,	understood,
	strong domain	weak domain
	theory, stable	theory, dynamic
	overtime	overtime
Knowledge	Facts and	Cases
representation	IF-THEN rules	
System	Answer	Precedents
provides		
Explanation by	Trace of fired	Precedent
	rules	
System can	No, usually	Yes, by the case
learn	requires manual	acquisition
	addition of new	
	rules	

Table 1: RBR Versus CBR

2.3. CBR Versus Machine Learning

In general, machine learning (ML) involves analyzing past cases to derive rules that applied to solve new problems. ML clearly separates the processes of learning rules and solving problems. CBR uses induction algorithms, to classify existing cases. The result of this process is an index tree that is used to match a new case against existing cases. Thus the distinction between learning and problem solving is less clearly separated.

Another important distinction is in the justification for decision or answer. ML problem solvers will justify a decision by quoting the rules that were induced from the training examples. A CBR system will use the retrieved cases as precedents to support a decision. This is an important distinction, since in general people understand and trust precedents but are less comfortable with abstract rules.

2.4. CBR Versus Neural Network

Superficially, there are some similarities between CBR and neural networks (NN). Both techniques rely on past cases with known outcomes to inform their decisions, but there the similarities end. NNs are good in domains where data cannot be represented symbolically. Conversely, CBR is less good with purely numeric data and much better with complex, structured symbolic data.

The group at Wolver Hampton University and the Heartlands Hospital also compared CBR (a nearest-neighbor system) to an NN (a multilayered perceptron trained by back propagation). The NN system could correctly advise on the dosage of warfarin in 79% of cases compared to 87% for the CBR system. The NN system therefore outperformed the linear discriminant analysis but was less accurate than CBR. However, we would expect NN technology to perform much better on data that was better suited to it.

The major disadvantage of NN technology compared with CBR is that an NN system functions as a "black box". The answer given by an NN is a function of the weighted vectors of its neurons. No explanation or justification of any sort can be given by an NN. This is therefore even worse than RBR or ML systems, which can at least quote the rules used to justify a decision. For this reason, NNs are unsuitable in many application domains.

2.5. Summary of Technology Comparisons

Table 2 is a guide to when we should and should not use each of the technology discussed. The major limitations of CBR are that it may not handle large volumes of purely numeric data as well as statistical or neural network techniques, and that if complex adaptation is required to provide a precise or optimum answer.. CBR retrieves the most similar case and attempts to reuse the solution from that case. CBR does not provide precise, exact, or optimum solutions.

TypeWell-structured, standardized data and simple precise queries possibleComplex, poorly structured data and fuzzy queries requiredInformatio n RetrievalLarge volume of textual dataNontextual complex data types, background knowledge availableRule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, problem area and justification by rule-trace acceptablePoorly understood problem area and justification by rule-trace acceptableMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set acceptableRules are not required, and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex stable, or if data that changesRasoningPoorly understood required, and large training set and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableComplex stable, or if complex symbolic data or when a a signal processing siowly with time and justification is required	Technology	When To Use	When Not To
DatabaseWell-structured, standardized data and simple precise queries possibleComplex, poorlyInformatio n RetrievalLarge volume of textual dataNontextual complex data types, background knowledge availableRule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, problem area and justification by rule-trace acceptablePoorly understood problem area and justification by rule-trace acceptableMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set acceptableRules are not required, and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern reguiredComplex stable, or artice required from a justification by rule-trace is and justification by rule-trace is and justification by rule-trace is and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkPoorly understood from a recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data or when a ajustification is requiredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with complex structured adata that changes slowly with time and justification is required or if data that changes	Туре		Use
standardized data and simple precise queries possiblestructured data and fuzzy queries requiredInformatio n RetrievalLarge volume of textual dataNontextual complex data types, background knowledge availableRule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, problem area and justification by itication by rule-trace acceptablePoorly understood problem area and justification by itication itication by itication itication by itication itication itication by itication itication iticatitication itication iticatio	Database	Well-structured,	Complex,
and simple precise queries possiblestructured data and fuzzy queries requiredInformatio n RetrievalLarge volume of textual dataNontextual complex data types, background knowledge availableRule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, problem area and justification by rule-trace acceptablePoorly understood, problem area and justification by that constantly rule-trace acceptableMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set acceptableRules are not required, and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural Noisy numerical data for pattern signal processing problem area with complex structured problem area with is no t complex structured is no t complex structured is no t complex structured available, or if data that changesCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with is no t complex structured available, or if data that changesCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with is no t complex structured available, or if data that changes		standardized data	poorly
queries possibleand fuzzy queries requiredInformatio n RetrievalLarge volume of textual dataNontextual complex dataRule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, stable, narrow problem area and justification by rule-trace acceptablePoorly understood, that constantly changesMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set and justification by rule-trace acceptableRules are not required, and justification by indet set on trace required from a large training set and justification by rule-trace is acceptableComplex suble, and justification by indet set on trace required from a large training set and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableComplex suble, and justification by indet set on trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern symbolic data isgnal processing problem area with is no t complex structured is no t available, or if data that changesCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with is no t available, or if available, or if data that changes		and simple precise	structured data
Informatio n RetrievalLarge volume of textual dataqueries requiredInformatio n RetrievalLarge volume of textual dataNontextual complex data types, background knowledge availableRule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, problem area and justification by rule-trace acceptablePoorly understood, that constantly changesMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableRules are not required, and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern signal processingComplex symbolic data is no t available, or if data that changesCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood is no t complex structured problem area with is no t complex structured is no t complex structuredWhen case data is no t available, or if available, or if available, or if available, or if available, or if available, or if available, with time adaptation is and justification		queries possible	and fuzzy
Informatio n RetrievalLarge volume of textual dataNontextual complex data types, background knowledge availableRule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, problem area and justification by rule-trace acceptablePoorly understood, that constantly changesMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set and justification by rule-trace ison data frule-traceRules are not required, and isutification by isutification by rule-trace ison data frule-traceRules are not required, and isutification by isutification by isutification by inte-trace ison data frule-trace ison data frule-trace ison data for pattern isignal processingComplex isinfication is isignal processingReasoningPoorly understood problem area with is no t complex structured is no t ison ot complex structuredWine case data isin ot is no t isin ot is no t isin ot is no t isin ot is no t isin ot isin ot is			queries
Informatio n RetrievalLarge volume of textual dataNontextual complex data types, background knowledge availableRule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, stable, narrow problem area and justification by rule-trace acceptablePoorly understood problem area and justification by that constantly rule-trace acceptableRules are not required, and justification by iustification by rule-trace acceptableMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set alarge training set acceptableRules are not required, and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data is no t available, or if data that changesCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with is no t complex structured is no t complex st			required
n Retrievaltextual datacomplex dataI extual datatypes, background knowledge availableRule-BasedWell-understood, problem area and justification byPoorlyReasoningStable, narrow problem area and justification byunderstood problem areaMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set altre traceRules are not required, and justification byNeural NetworkNoisy numerical tata for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data is no t complex structured is no t complex structuredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with is no t complex structured is not t	Informatio	Large volume of	Nontextual
Rule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, stable, narrowPoorly understood problem area and justification that constantly rule-trace acceptablePoorly that constantly changesMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set and justification by rule-trace isand justification byRules are not required, and justification by istification by rule-trace isand justification by indetrace isand justification by indetrace isand justification by indetrace isand justification by indetrace isand justification by indetrace isand justification by indetrace isand processingComplex symbolic data is no is no 	n Retrieval	textual data	complex data
Rule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, stable, narrowPoorly understood problem area and justificationPoorlyRule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, stable, narrowPoorlyInderstood problem areaMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set and justification by inule-trace acceptableRules are not required, and justification by inule-trace is unacceptableNachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set inule-trace is unacceptableRules are not required, and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data symbolic data is no t available, or if data that changesCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood signal with time and justificationWhen case data is no t available, or if available, or if adaptation is and justification			types,
Rule-Based ReasoningWell-understood, stable, narrowPoorly understood problem area and justificationPoorlyReasoningstable, narrowunderstood problem area and justificationproblem area area that constantly changes acceptableMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set and justification by inule-trace acceptableRules are not required, and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data problem area with is no t complex structured available, or if data that changesCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood signal with time and justificationWhen case data is no t available, or if available, or if available, or if adaptation is and justification			background
Rule-BasedWell-understood, stable, narrowPoorly understood problem area and justification byPoorly understood problem area and ithat constantly that constantly rule-trace acceptableRules are not required, and igustification by rule-trace is igustification by are required from a large training set and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableRules are not required, and igustification by rule-trace is unacceptableNachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a igustification by rule-trace is unacceptableRules are not required, and igustification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data signal processingCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood is no t complex structured is no t available, or if data that changesWhen case data is no t available, or if adaptation is and justification			knowledge
Rule-BasedWell-understood, stable, problem area and justification iustification by rule-trace acceptablePoorly understood problem area that constantly changes changesMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set and justification by rule-traceRules are not required, and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical are cognition signal processingComplex justification is requiredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood slowly with time and justificationWhen case data available, or if data that changes			available
Reasoningstable,narrowunderstoodproblem area andproblem areaproblem areajustification bythat constantlyrule-tracechangesacceptablechangesMachineGeneralizable rulesRules are notlarge training setjustification byrule-trace isand justification byrule-trace isunacceptablenule-traceisunacceptablenule-traceisunacceptablenule-traceisunacceptablenule-traceissymbolic datanecognition oror when asignal processingjustification isproblem area withisnogroblem area withisnodata that changesavailable, or ifdata that changesslowly with timeandjustificationandjustification	Rule-Based	Well-understood,	Poorly
problem area and justification byproblem area that constantly changesmachine LearningGeneralizable rulesRules are not required, and large training set and justification by and justification by inule-trace isRules are not required, and large training set unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data requiredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood is no t complex structured is no t complex structuredWhen case data is no t available, or if data that changesand justificationis no requiredis no required	Reasoning	stable, narrow	understood
justificationbythat constantlyrule-tracechangesacceptableruleMachineGeneralizable rulesRules are notlarge training setjustification byand justification byrule-trace isacceptableunacceptableacceptableunacceptableacceptableseceptableNeuralNoisy numericalNetworkAdata for patternaginal processingjustification isrecognitionor when asignal processingjustification isproblem area withis no tcomplex structuredavailable, or ifdata that changessowly with timeand justificationrequired or if		problem area and	problem area
rule-tracechangesacceptableImageMachineGeneralizable rulesRules are notlarge training setjustification bylarge training setjustification byand justification byrule-trace isnule-traceisacceptableImageNeuralNoisy numericalNetworkGoorly understoodgrase-BasedPoorly understoodproblem area withis no tcomplex structuredavailable, or ifdata that changescomplexslowly with timeadaptation isand justificationrequired or if		justification by	that constantly
acceptableMachine LearningGeneralizable rules are required from a large training set justification by rule-trace is unacceptableRules are not required, and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex istification is requiredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with data that changes slowly with time and justificationWhen case data available, or if adaptation is required		rule-trace	changes
Machine LearningGeneralizable rulesRules are not required, and justification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data requiredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with data that changes slowly with time and justification is required or if available, or if available, or if available, or if and justification		acceptable	
Learningare required from a large training set justification by rule-trace is unacceptablejustification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data requiredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with data that changes slowly with time and justification is required or if	Machine	Generalizable rules	Rules are not
large training setjustification by rule-trace is unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data requiredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with data that changes slowly with time and justification is required or if available, or if and justification	Learning	are required from a	required, and
and justification by rule-tracerule-traceis unacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy data for patternComplex symbolic or or when a signal processingComplex is ignal problem area with is no tomplex structuredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understoodWhen case data available, or if data that that changes and justificationCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understoodWhen case data available, or if adaptation		large training set	justification by
rule-traceisunacceptableacceptableacceptableImage: Complex structuredNetworkNoisy numerical data for patternComplex symbolic data recognition or signal processingCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with complex structuredWhen case data available, or if data that changesGase-Based ReasoningPoorly with time and justificationadaptation is required		and justification by	rule-trace is
AcceptableacceptableNeural NetworkNoisy data for patternComplexNetworkdata for patterncomplex symbolic or is justification is requiredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understoodWhen case data available, or if data that changes slowly with time and justification is required		rule-trace is	unacceptable
Neural NetworkNoisy numerical data for pattern recognition or signal processingComplex symbolic data or when a justification is requiredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understood problem area with data that changes slowly with time and justification is requiredWhen case data available, or if adaptation is required		acceptable	
Networkdata for patternsymbolic datarecognitionorwhen asignal processingjustification isrequiredrequiredCase-BasedPoorly understoodWhen case dataproblem area withisnotcomplex structuredavailable, or ifdata that changesslowly with timeadaptation isandandjustificationrequired or if	Neural	Noisy numerical	Complex
recognitionororwhenasignal processingjustificationisrequiredCase-BasedPoorly understoodWhen case dataproblem area withisnotcomplex structuredavailable, orifdata that changescomplexslowly with timeandjustificationrequired or	Network	data for pattern	symbolic data
Signal processingjustification is requiredCase-Based ReasoningPoorly understoodWhen case data is no t complex structureddata that changes slowly with time and justificationcomplex required		recognition or	or when a
Case-Based ReasoningPoorly understoodWhen case dataroblemarea with isisnotcomplexstructuredavailable, orifdatathatchangescomplexslowlywithtimeadaptationisandjustificationrequired orif		signal processing	justification is
Case-BasedPoorlyunderstoodWhen case dataReasoningproblem area withisnotcomplex structuredavailable, or ifdatathatchangescomplex structuredslowly withtimeadaptationisandjustificationrequired or if		D 1 1 1 1	required
Reasoning problem area with its no t complex structured available, or if data that changes complex slowly with time adaptation is and justification required or if	Case-Based	Poorly understood	When case data
data that changes complex slowly with time adaptation is and justification required or if	Reasoning	problem area with	1s no t
slowly with time adaptation is and justification required or if		data that sharpes	available, or if
and justification required or if		alowly with time	adaptation is
and justification required of it		and justification	required or if
required on exact		required	an avact
iequiieu an exact		requireu	an exact
answer			answer is
required			required

 Table 2: Technology Comparisons

3. Implementing CBR in the OOExpert

In our research project, we are developing an expert system that aims to help designers while

designing object-oriented software by automating the difficulties and ill-defined tasks in object-oriented design process, including identification of object classes, object classes relationship, object classes attributes etc. This system was named *OOExpert*.

In the *OOExpert* system, RBR and CBR have been combined. The complementary properties of CBR and RBR can be advantageously combined to solve some problems to which only one technique fails to provide a satisfactory solution. Generally the combination involves CBR systems using RBR for support. RBR and CBR are often used together, where the use of rules is supplemented with the use of cases that determine the scope of the rules. CBR processing can be augmented with RBR when general domain knowledge is required.

Figure 1 shows the architecture of the object classes' identification in *OOExpert* system by using RBR and CBR integration approach.





The first step constructing an object model is to identify relevant object classes from the application domain. Objects include physical entities, such as houses, employees, and machines, as well as concepts, such as trajectories, seating assignments, and payment schedules. All classes must make sense in the application domain. As shown in Figure 2, begin by listing candidate object classes found in the written description of the problem. Classes often respond to nouns. Then *OOExpert's reasoning engine* will process this nouns extraction request by using rules from rule-base and cases (experiences) from case-base. As a result we have tentative object classes.

The next step is to eliminate spurious classes. In RBR, the system will discard unnecessary and incorrect classes according to the following criteria: redundant classes, irrelevant classes, vague classes, attributes, operations, roles, and classes that point at implementation constructs.

In other hand, CBR is based on psychological theories of human cognition. We collect design rules from human experts, and store/index them in the case-base. It rests on the intuition that human expertise does not depend on rules or other formalized structures, but on experiences. Human experts differ from novices in their ability to relate problems to previous ones, to reason based on analogies between current and old problems, to use solutions from old experiences, and to recognize and avoid old errors and failures. Using cases from case-base, we can get another solutions of identifying object classes, from experiences of human experts.

Using this integration approach, RBR and CBR have been combined in *OOExpert* system to engender performance improvements and to solve problems to which single technique fails to provide a satisfactory solution.

4. Conclusions

In this paper we introduced the essential characteristic of CBR and discuss the comparation of CBR with a range alternative decision support techniques. The problems and limitations of case-based reasoning and other reasoning methods are discussed. And we presented the new hybrid architecture by integrating both CBR and Rule-Based Reasoning (RBR) paradigms for object classes' identification in the *OOExpert*.

Using this integration approach, RBR and CBR have been combined in *OOExpert* system to engender performance improvements and to solve problems to which single technique fails to provide a satisfactory solution.

5. References

- [1] Romi Satria Wahono and Behrouz Homayoun Far, "Distributed Expert System Architecture for Automatic Object-Oriented Software Design," *Proceedings of The Third Workshop on Electro-Communication and Information*, Japan, March, 1999.
- [2] Romi Satria Wahono and Behrouz Homayoun Far, "OOExpert: Distributed Expert System for Automatic Object-Oriented Software Design," *Proceedings of the 13th Annual Conference* of JSAI, Japan, June 1999.
- [3] Ian M. Holland and Karl J. Lieberherr, "Object-Oriented Design.", ACM Computing Surveys, Vol. 28, No. 1, March 1996.
- [4] Nick Cercone, Aijun An, and Christine Chan, "Rule-Induction and Case-Based Reasoning: Hybrid Architectures Appear Advantageous", *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge Engineering and Data Engineering*, Vol. 11, No.1, January/February 1999.
- [5] 加藤貞行、"オブジェクト識別について

の一考察とその効果",情報処理学会研究

報告, Vol.90, No.100,1998.

- [6] Sycara E.P., "Finding Creative Solutions in Adversarial Impasses", *Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Conference o the Cognitive Science Society*, 1987.
- [7] Ian Watson, "Applying Case-Based Reasoning: Technique for Enterprise Systems", *Morgan Kaufmann*, 1997.
- [8] Friedrich Gebhardt, Angi Vob, Wolfgang Grather, Barbara Schmidt-Belz, "Reasoning With Complex Cases", *Kluwer Academic Publisher*, 1997

Biography of Author



RomiSatriaWahono,WasborninMadiun-IndonesiaonOctober2nd1974,ReceivedB.Eng.inInformation and ComputerSciences,inSciences,in1999,from

Saitama University. He is currently a researcher at the Center for Scientific Documentation and Information (PDII-LIPI), and a M.Eng. candidate at the Department of Information and Computer Sciences, Saitama University. The research fields of his interests are Distributed Artificial Intelligence, Multi Agent Systems, Reasoning and Object-Orientation. He is a member of the Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), IEEE Computer Society, Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence (JSAI), and Indonesian Society on Electrical, Electronics, Communication and Information (IECI).



Behrouz Homayoun Far, Received BSc. and MSc. degrees in Electronic Engineering in 1983 and 1986, respectively, from Tehran University, Iran. He has received his Ph.D. degree from Chiba

University - Japan, in 1990. He is currently an Associate Professor at the Department of Information and Computer Sciences, Saitama University - Japan. The research fields of his interest are qualitative reasoning, automatic programming and distributed AI. Dr. Far is a member of the ACM, IEEE Computer society, Japanese Society for Artificial Intelligence, IEICE and Information Processing Society of Japan.